

Proper base change I

Étale cohomology study group

Wojtek Wawrów

29 June 2021

Pullback and cohomology

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism. For any étale sheaf \mathcal{F} on X we have the cohomology sheaves $R^n f_* \mathcal{F}$ on Y .

Pullback and cohomology

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism. For any étale sheaf \mathcal{F} on X we have the cohomology sheaves $R^n f_* \mathcal{F}$ on Y .

Now take another morphism $g : Y' \rightarrow Y$. Take a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{g'} & X \\ \downarrow f' & & \downarrow f \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{g} & Y. \end{array}$$

Pullback and cohomology

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism. For any étale sheaf \mathcal{F} on X we have the cohomology sheaves $R^n f_* \mathcal{F}$ on Y .

Now take another morphism $g : Y' \rightarrow Y$. Take a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{g'} & X \\ \downarrow f' & & \downarrow f \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{g} & Y. \end{array}$$

On one hand we have the pullback $g'^* \mathcal{F}$ on X' . On the other hand, we can consider the pullback $g^*(R^n f_* \mathcal{F})$ on Y' .

Pullback and cohomology

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism. For any étale sheaf \mathcal{F} on X we have the cohomology sheaves $R^n f_* \mathcal{F}$ on Y .

Now take another morphism $g : Y' \rightarrow Y$. Take a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{g'} & X \\ \downarrow f' & & \downarrow f \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{g} & Y. \end{array}$$

On one hand we have the pullback $g'^* \mathcal{F}$ on X' . On the other hand, we can consider the pullback $g^*(R^n f_* \mathcal{F})$ on Y' . We wish to compare cohomology of $g'^* \mathcal{F}$ with this last pullback.

Pullback and cohomology

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism. For any étale sheaf \mathcal{F} on X we have the cohomology sheaves $R^n f_* \mathcal{F}$ on Y .

Now take another morphism $g : Y' \rightarrow Y$. Take a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{g'} & X \\ \downarrow f' & & \downarrow f \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{g} & Y. \end{array}$$

On one hand we have the pullback $g'^* \mathcal{F}$ on X' . On the other hand, we can consider the pullback $g^*(R^n f_* \mathcal{F})$ on Y' . We wish to compare cohomology of $g'^* \mathcal{F}$ with this last pullback.

For $n = 0$, we have a map $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow g'_* g'^* \mathcal{F}$, and hence $f_* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow f_* g'_* g'^* \mathcal{F}$.

Pullback and cohomology

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism. For any étale sheaf \mathcal{F} on X we have the cohomology sheaves $R^n f_* \mathcal{F}$ on Y .

Now take another morphism $g : Y' \rightarrow Y$. Take a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{g'} & X \\ \downarrow f' & & \downarrow f \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{g} & Y. \end{array}$$

On one hand we have the pullback $g'^* \mathcal{F}$ on X' . On the other hand, we can consider the pullback $g^*(R^n f_* \mathcal{F})$ on Y' . We wish to compare cohomology of $g'^* \mathcal{F}$ with this last pullback.

For $n = 0$, we have a map $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow g'_* g'^* \mathcal{F}$, and hence $f_* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow f_* g'_* g'^* \mathcal{F}$. Now note $f_* g'_* = g_* f'_*$, so we get maps

$$f_* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow g_* f'_* g'^* \mathcal{F},$$

Pullback and cohomology

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism. For any étale sheaf \mathcal{F} on X we have the cohomology sheaves $R^n f_* \mathcal{F}$ on Y .

Now take another morphism $g : Y' \rightarrow Y$. Take a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{g'} & X \\ \downarrow f' & & \downarrow f \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{g} & Y. \end{array}$$

On one hand we have the pullback $g'^* \mathcal{F}$ on X' . On the other hand, we can consider the pullback $g^*(R^n f_* \mathcal{F})$ on Y' . We wish to compare cohomology of $g'^* \mathcal{F}$ with this last pullback.

For $n = 0$, we have a map $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow g'_* g'^* \mathcal{F}$, and hence $f_* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow f_* g'_* g'^* \mathcal{F}$. Now note $f_* g'_* = g_* f'_*$, so we get maps

$$\begin{aligned} f_* \mathcal{F} &\rightarrow g_* f'_* g'^* \mathcal{F}, \\ g^* f_* \mathcal{F} &\rightarrow f'_* g'^* \mathcal{F}. \end{aligned}$$

Review: edge maps in spectral sequences

Theorem (Grothendieck spectral sequence)

Suppose $A \xrightarrow{G} B \xrightarrow{F} C$ is a chain of functors between categories. Under appropriate conditions, for any $a \in A$ we have a spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = (R^p F)(R^q G)(a) \Rightarrow R^{p+q}(FG)(a)$$

Review: edge maps in spectral sequences

Theorem (Grothendieck spectral sequence)

Suppose $A \xrightarrow{G} B \xrightarrow{F} C$ is a chain of functors between categories. Under appropriate conditions, for any $a \in A$ we have a spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = (R^p F)(R^q G)(a) \Rightarrow R^{p+q}(FG)(a)$$

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(p, q) = (n, 0)$ we have

$$(R^n F)G(a) = E_2^{n,0} \rightarrow E_\infty^{n,0} \hookrightarrow R^n(FG)(a).$$

Review: edge maps in spectral sequences

Theorem (Grothendieck spectral sequence)

Suppose $A \xrightarrow{G} B \xrightarrow{F} C$ is a chain of functors between categories. Under appropriate conditions, for any $a \in A$ we have a spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = (R^p F)(R^q G)(a) \Rightarrow R^{p+q}(FG)(a)$$

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(p, q) = (n, 0)$ we have

$$(R^n F)G(a) = E_2^{n,0} \twoheadrightarrow E_\infty^{n,0} \hookrightarrow R^n(FG)(a).$$

For $(p, q) = (0, n)$ we have

$$R^n(FG)(a) \twoheadrightarrow E_\infty^{0,n} \hookrightarrow E_2^{0,n} = (R^n F)G(a).$$

These are the *edge maps*.

Review: edge maps in spectral sequences

Theorem (Grothendieck spectral sequence)

Suppose $A \xrightarrow{G} B \xrightarrow{F} C$ is a chain of functors between categories. Under appropriate conditions, for any $a \in A$ we have a spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = (R^p F)(R^q G)(a) \Rightarrow R^{p+q}(FG)(a)$$

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(p, q) = (n, 0)$ we have

$$(R^n F)G(a) = E_2^{n,0} \twoheadrightarrow E_\infty^{n,0} \hookrightarrow R^n(FG)(a).$$

For $(p, q) = (0, n)$ we have

$$R^n(FG)(a) \twoheadrightarrow E_\infty^{0,n} \hookrightarrow E_2^{0,n} = (R^n F)G(a).$$

These are the *edge maps*.

In our case of interest these are the maps

$$R^n f_* \circ g'_* \rightarrow R^n(f_* g'_*) = R^n(g_* f'_*) \rightarrow g_* \circ R^n f'_*.$$

Construction of the base change map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{g'} & X \\ \downarrow f' & & \downarrow f \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{g} & Y. \end{array}$$

We wish to construct a map $g^*(R^n f_* \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow R^n f'_*(g'^* \mathcal{F})$.

Construction of the base change map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{g'} & X \\ \downarrow f' & & \downarrow f \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{g} & Y. \end{array}$$

We wish to construct a map $g^*(R^n f_* \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow R^n f'_*(g'^* \mathcal{F})$. By adjunction, it is enough to construct a map $R^n f_* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow g_*(R^n f'_*(g'^* \mathcal{F}))$.

Construction of the base change map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{g'} & X \\ \downarrow f' & & \downarrow f \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{g} & Y. \end{array}$$

We wish to construct a map $g^*(R^n f_* \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow R^n f'_*(g'^* \mathcal{F})$. By adjunction, it is enough to construct a map $R^n f_* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow g_*(R^n f'_*(g'^* \mathcal{F}))$. Intuitively, this is a map induced by $H^n(f^{-1}(U), \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^n(f'^{-1}(U), g'^* \mathcal{F})$.

Construction of the base change map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{g'} & X \\ \downarrow f' & & \downarrow f \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{g} & Y. \end{array}$$

We wish to construct a map $g^*(R^n f_* \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow R^n f'_*(g'^* \mathcal{F})$. By adjunction, it is enough to construct a map $R^n f_* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow g_*(R^n f'_*(g'^* \mathcal{F}))$. Intuitively, this is a map induced by $H^n(f^{-1}(U), \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^n(f'^{-1}(U), g'^* \mathcal{F})$. Like before, we have $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow g'_* g'^* \mathcal{F}$ and hence $R^n f_* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow R^n f_*(g'_* g'^* \mathcal{F})$.

Construction of the base change map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{g'} & X \\ \downarrow f' & & \downarrow f \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{g} & Y. \end{array}$$

We wish to construct a map $g^*(R^n f_* \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow R^n f'_*(g'^* \mathcal{F})$. By adjunction, it is enough to construct a map $R^n f_* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow g_*(R^n f'_*(g'^* \mathcal{F}))$. Intuitively, this is a map induced by $H^n(f^{-1}(U), \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^n(f'^{-1}(U), g'^* \mathcal{F})$.

Like before, we have $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow g'_* g'^* \mathcal{F}$ and hence

$R^n f_* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow R^n f_*(g'_* g'^* \mathcal{F})$. We now use the following map

$R^n f_* \circ g' \rightarrow g_* \circ R^n f'_*$ constructed before:

$R^n f_*(g'_* g'^* \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow g_* R^n f'_*(g'^* \mathcal{F})$.

When is base change an isomorphism?

Suppose $X' = X \times_Y Y'$. It is natural to ask when the morphism

$$g^*(R^n f_* \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow R^n f'_*(g'^* \mathcal{F})$$

is an isomorphism.

When is base change an isomorphism?

Suppose $X' = X \times_Y Y'$. It is natural to ask when the morphism

$$g^*(R^n f_* \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow R^n f'_*(g'^* \mathcal{F})$$

is an isomorphism.

Theorem

Suppose $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a proper morphism and \mathcal{F} is a torsion étale sheaf. Then the base change morphism is an isomorphism.

When is base change an isomorphism?

Suppose $X' = X \times_Y Y'$. It is natural to ask when the morphism

$$g^*(R^n f_* \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow R^n f'_*(g'^* \mathcal{F})$$

is an isomorphism.

Theorem

Suppose $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a proper morphism and \mathcal{F} is a torsion étale sheaf. Then the base change morphism is an isomorphism.

There are other conditions which imply this isomorphism, e.g. g being smooth (assuming extra technical conditions.)

Illustration: topological base change

Suppose we have a Cartesian diagram of topological spaces:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{g'} & X \\ \downarrow f' & & \downarrow f \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{g} & Y. \end{array}$$

We have to check the isomorphism on stalks: for all $y \in Y'$ we want an isomorphism

$$(g^*(R^n f_* \mathcal{F}))_y = (R^n f_* \mathcal{F})_{g(y)} \cong (R^n f'_*(g'^* \mathcal{F}))_y.$$

Illustration: topological base change

Suppose we have a Cartesian diagram of topological spaces:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{g'} & X \\ \downarrow f' & & \downarrow f \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{g} & Y. \end{array}$$

We have to check the isomorphism on stalks: for all $y \in Y'$ we want an isomorphism

$$(g^*(R^n f_* \mathcal{F}))_y = (R^n f_* \mathcal{F})_{g(y)} \cong (R^n f'_*(g'^* \mathcal{F}))_y.$$

Observe that the *fibers* $X_{g(y)}$ and X'_y are isomorphic, and the isomorphism identifies $\mathcal{F}|_{X_{g(y)}}$ with $(g'^* \mathcal{F})|_{X'_y}$.

Illustration: topological base change

Suppose we have a Cartesian diagram of topological spaces:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{g'} & X \\ \downarrow f' & & \downarrow f \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{g} & Y. \end{array}$$

We have to check the isomorphism on stalks: for all $y \in Y'$ we want an isomorphism

$$(g^*(R^n f_* \mathcal{F}))_y = (R^n f_* \mathcal{F})_{g(y)} \cong (R^n f'_*(g'^* \mathcal{F}))_y.$$

Observe that the *fibers* $X_{g(y)}$ and X'_y are isomorphic, and the isomorphism identifies $\mathcal{F}|_{X_{g(y)}}$ with $(g'^* \mathcal{F})|_{X'_y}$. It would be enough to have an isomorphism $(R^n f_* \mathcal{F})_{g(y)} \cong H^n(X_{g(y)}, \mathcal{F}|_{X_{g(y)}})$ —base change for inclusion of a point.

Illustration: topological base change

Why should this isomorphism hold? For $n = 0$, this says
 $\Gamma(X_z, \mathcal{F}|_{X_z}) \cong (f_*\mathcal{F})_z$.

Illustration: topological base change

Why should this isomorphism hold? For $n = 0$, this says $\Gamma(X_z, \mathcal{F}|_{X_z}) \cong (f_*\mathcal{F})_z$. We have

$$(f_*\mathcal{F})_z = \varinjlim_{z \in V \subseteq Y} \mathcal{F}(f^{-1}(V)).$$

Illustration: topological base change

Why should this isomorphism hold? For $n = 0$, this says $\Gamma(X_z, \mathcal{F}|_{X_z}) \cong (f_*\mathcal{F})_z$. We have

$$(f_*\mathcal{F})_z = \varinjlim_{z \in V \subseteq Y} \mathcal{F}(f^{-1}(V)).$$

In nice cases, we also have

$$\Gamma(X_z, \mathcal{F}|_{X_z}) = \varinjlim_{X_z \subseteq U \subseteq X} \mathcal{F}(U).$$

Illustration: topological base change

Why should this isomorphism hold? For $n = 0$, this says $\Gamma(X_z, \mathcal{F}|_{X_z}) \cong (f_*\mathcal{F})_z$. We have

$$(f_*\mathcal{F})_z = \varinjlim_{z \in V \subseteq Y} \mathcal{F}(f^{-1}(V)).$$

In nice cases, we also have

$$\Gamma(X_z, \mathcal{F}|_{X_z}) = \varinjlim_{X_z \subseteq U \subseteq X} \mathcal{F}(U).$$

Properness is equivalent to the former being cofinal in the latter, giving an isomorphism.

Illustration: topological base change

Why should this isomorphism hold? For $n = 0$, this says $\Gamma(X_z, \mathcal{F}|_{X_z}) \cong (f_*\mathcal{F})_z$. We have

$$(f_*\mathcal{F})_z = \varinjlim_{z \in V \subseteq Y} \mathcal{F}(f^{-1}(V)).$$

In nice cases, we also have

$$\Gamma(X_z, \mathcal{F}|_{X_z}) = \varinjlim_{X_z \subseteq U \subseteq X} \mathcal{F}(U).$$

Properness is equivalent to the former being cofinal in the latter, giving an isomorphism.

You can prove this for higher cohomology too. See Milne's *Lectures on Étale Cohomology*, Section 17.

Lemma

Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a henselian local ring, $X \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ proper, Z the special fiber. For any (Zariski) sheaf \mathcal{F} on X we have $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}) = \Gamma(Z, \mathcal{F}|_Z)$.

Lemma

Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a henselian local ring, $X \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ proper, Z the special fiber. For any (Zariski) sheaf \mathcal{F} on X we have $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}) = \Gamma(Z, \mathcal{F}|_Z)$.

Proof.

By general topology, enough to show Z intersects any irreducible subset Y in a nonempty connected set.

Lemma

Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a henselian local ring, $X \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ proper, Z the special fiber. For any (Zariski) sheaf \mathcal{F} on X we have $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}) = \Gamma(Z, \mathcal{F}|_Z)$.

Proof.

By general topology, enough to show Z intersects any irreducible subset Y in a nonempty connected set. Replacing X with Y assume X irreducible, want Z nonempty connected.

Lemma

Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a henselian local ring, $X \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ proper, Z the special fiber. For any (Zariski) sheaf \mathcal{F} on X we have $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}) = \Gamma(Z, \mathcal{F}|_Z)$.

Proof.

By general topology, enough to show Z intersects any irreducible subset Y in a nonempty connected set. Replacing X with Y assume X irreducible, want Z nonempty connected. Nonempty follows from proper, connected comes from henselian. \square

Proposition

In the above situation, let $i_Z : Z \rightarrow X$ be the inclusion. For an étale sheaf \mathcal{F} , we have $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}) = \Gamma(Z, i_Z^{-1} \mathcal{F})$.

Sections on geometric fibers

Proposition

In the above situation, let $i_Z : Z \rightarrow X$ be the inclusion. For an étale sheaf \mathcal{F} , we have $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}) = \Gamma(Z, i_Z^{-1} \mathcal{F})$.

Proof.

For surjectivity: for an étale map $U \rightarrow X$ (étale neighbourhood of Z) there is finite $X' \rightarrow X$ which (Zariski)-locally factors through U .

Sections on geometric fibers

Proposition

In the above situation, let $i_Z : Z \rightarrow X$ be the inclusion. For an étale sheaf \mathcal{F} , we have $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}) = \Gamma(Z, i_Z^{-1} \mathcal{F})$.

Proof.

For surjectivity: for an étale map $U \rightarrow X$ (étale neighbourhood of Z) there is finite $X' \rightarrow X$ which (Zariski)-locally factors through U . Sections descend by previous proposition + analogue for finite base changes, and étale descent. \square

Sections on geometric fibers

Proposition

In the above situation, let $i_Z : Z \rightarrow X$ be the inclusion. For an étale sheaf \mathcal{F} , we have $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}) = \Gamma(Z, i_Z^{-1}\mathcal{F})$.

Proof.

For surjectivity: for an étale map $U \rightarrow X$ (étale neighbourhood of Z) there is finite $X' \rightarrow X$ which (Zariski)-locally factors through U . Sections descend by previous proposition + analogue for finite base changes, and étale descent. \square

Corollary

$f : X \rightarrow Y$ proper, \bar{y} a geometric point of Y . For any étale sheaf \mathcal{F} we have an isomorphism $(f_\mathcal{F})_{\bar{y}} \rightarrow \Gamma(X_{\bar{y}}, \mathcal{F}_{\bar{y}})$.*

Sections on geometric fibers

Proposition

In the above situation, let $i_Z : Z \rightarrow X$ be the inclusion. For an étale sheaf \mathcal{F} , we have $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}) = \Gamma(Z, i_Z^{-1}\mathcal{F})$.

Proof.

For surjectivity: for an étale map $U \rightarrow X$ (étale neighbourhood of Z) there is finite $X' \rightarrow X$ which (Zariski)-locally factors through U . Sections descend by previous proposition + analogue for finite base changes, and étale descent. \square

Corollary

$f : X \rightarrow Y$ proper, \bar{y} a geometric point of Y . For any étale sheaf \mathcal{F} we have an isomorphism $(f_\mathcal{F})_{\bar{y}} \rightarrow \Gamma(X_{\bar{y}}, \mathcal{F}_{\bar{y}})$.*

Corollary (Base change in degree 0)

Base change map $g^{-1}f_\mathcal{F} \rightarrow f'_*g'^*\mathcal{F}$ is an isomorphism.*

Passing to higher degrees

Knowing how base change behaves on global sections, we want to understand its behavior on injective sheaves.

Passing to higher degrees

Knowing how base change behaves on global sections, we want to understand its behavior on injective sheaves.

Definition

We say *base change holds* for proper $f : X \rightarrow Y$ if for any $g : Y' \rightarrow Y$ and torsion étale sheaf \mathcal{F} on X , base change map $g^{-1}R^n f_* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow R^n f'_* g'^* \mathcal{F}$ is an isomorphism for all n .

Passing to higher degrees

Knowing how base change behaves on global sections, we want to understand its behavior on injective sheaves.

Definition

We say *base change holds* for proper $f : X \rightarrow Y$ if for any $g : Y' \rightarrow Y$ and torsion étale sheaf \mathcal{F} on X , base change map $g^{-1}R^n f_* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow R^n f'_* g'^* \mathcal{F}$ is an isomorphism for all n .

Proposition

Base change holds for f iff for all injective \mathbb{Z}/ℓ -sheaves \mathcal{I} on $X_{\text{ét}}$ and $g : Y' \rightarrow Y$, $g'^{-1}\mathcal{I}$ is f'_ -acyclic: $R^n f'_*(g'^{-1}\mathcal{I}) = 0$.*

Passing to higher degrees

Knowing how base change behaves on global sections, we want to understand its behavior on injective sheaves.

Definition

We say *base change holds* for proper $f : X \rightarrow Y$ if for any $g : Y' \rightarrow Y$ and torsion étale sheaf \mathcal{F} on X , base change map $g^{-1}R^n f_* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow R^n f'_* g'^* \mathcal{F}$ is an isomorphism for all n .

Proposition

Base change holds for f iff for all injective \mathbb{Z}/ℓ -sheaves \mathcal{I} on $X_{\text{ét}}$ and $g : Y' \rightarrow Y$, $g'^{-1}\mathcal{I}$ is f'_ -acyclic: $R^n f'_*(g'^{-1}\mathcal{I}) = 0$.*

Proof.

One direction clear. For the converse, use colimits and induction to go from \mathbb{Z}/ℓ to arbitrary torsion. Then use acyclic resolutions $g'^{-1}\mathcal{I}^\bullet$ to compute cohomology and use $n = 0$ case. \square

Proposition

If base change works for $f_1 : X \rightarrow Y$ and $f_2 : Y \rightarrow Z$, it works for $f_2 \circ f_1$.

Standard reductions

Proposition

If base change works for $f_1 : X \rightarrow Y$ and $f_2 : Y \rightarrow Z$, it works for $f_2 \circ f_1$.

Proof.

Let \mathcal{I} be an injective sheaf of \mathbb{Z}/ℓ -modules on X . Then $f_{1*}\mathcal{I}$ is injective on Y (abstract nonsense + \mathbb{Z}/ℓ is a field.)

Standard reductions

Proposition

If base change works for $f_1 : X \rightarrow Y$ and $f_2 : Y \rightarrow Z$, it works for $f_2 \circ f_1$.

Proof.

Let \mathcal{I} be an injective sheaf of \mathbb{Z}/ℓ -modules on X . Then $f_{1*}\mathcal{I}$ is injective on Y (abstract nonsense + \mathbb{Z}/ℓ is a field.) We have a spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = R^p f_{2*}' R^q f_{1*}' g^{-1} \mathcal{I} \Rightarrow R^{p+q} (f_2' \circ f_1')_* g^{-1} \mathcal{I}.$$

Proposition

If base change works for $f_1 : X \rightarrow Y$ and $f_2 : Y \rightarrow Z$, it works for $f_2 \circ f_1$.

Proof.

Let \mathcal{I} be an injective sheaf of \mathbb{Z}/ℓ -modules on X . Then $f_{1*}\mathcal{I}$ is injective on Y (abstract nonsense + \mathbb{Z}/ℓ is a field.) We have a spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = R^p f_{2*}' R^q f_{1*}' g^{-1} \mathcal{I} \Rightarrow R^{p+q} (f_2' \circ f_1')_* g^{-1} \mathcal{I}.$$

$E_2^{p,q}$, $q > 0$ vanishes since base change works for f_1 . $E_2^{p,0}$ vanishes since it works for f_2 and $f_{1*}\mathcal{I}$ is injective. □

Standard reductions

Proposition

If base change works for $f_1 : X \rightarrow Y$ and $f_2 : Y \rightarrow Z$, it works for $f_2 \circ f_1$.

Proof.

Let \mathcal{I} be an injective sheaf of \mathbb{Z}/ℓ -modules on X . Then $f_{1*}\mathcal{I}$ is injective on Y (abstract nonsense + \mathbb{Z}/ℓ is a field.) We have a spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = R^p f_{2*}' R^q f_{1*}' g^{-1} \mathcal{I} \Rightarrow R^{p+q} (f_2' \circ f_1')_* g^{-1} \mathcal{I}.$$

$E_2^{p,q}$, $q > 0$ vanishes since base change works for f_1 . $E_2^{p,0}$ vanishes since it works for f_2 and $f_{1*}\mathcal{I}$ is injective. \square

Proposition

If f_1 is surjective and base change works for $f_1, f_2 \circ f_1$, then it works for f_2 .

The case of finite morphisms

Proposition

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be finite. Then $R^n \mathcal{F} = 0$ for all $n > 0$ and all étale sheaves \mathcal{F} on X .

The case of finite morphisms

Proposition

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be finite. Then $R^n \mathcal{F} = 0$ for all $n > 0$ and all étale sheaves \mathcal{F} on X .

Proof.

Let $X^{sh} = X \times_Y \mathcal{O}_{Y, \bar{y}}^{sh}$. Then $(R^n \mathcal{F})_{\bar{y}} = H^n(X^{sh}, \mathcal{F})$.

The case of finite morphisms

Proposition

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be finite. Then $R^n \mathcal{F} = 0$ for all $n > 0$ and all étale sheaves \mathcal{F} on X .

Proof.

Let $X^{sh} = X \times_Y \mathcal{O}_{Y, \bar{y}}^{sh}$. Then $(R^n \mathcal{F})_{\bar{y}} = H^n(X^{sh}, \mathcal{F})$. We have $X^{sh} = \text{Spec } A$, where A is a finite $\mathcal{O}_{Y, \bar{y}}^{sh}$ -algebra. Hence $A = \prod A_i$ with A_i strictly henselian.

The case of finite morphisms

Proposition

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be finite. Then $R^n \mathcal{F} = 0$ for all $n > 0$ and all étale sheaves \mathcal{F} on X .

Proof.

Let $X^{sh} = X \times_Y \mathcal{O}_{Y, \bar{y}}^{sh}$. Then $(R^n \mathcal{F})_{\bar{y}} = H^n(X^{sh}, \mathcal{F})$. We have $X^{sh} = \text{Spec } A$, where A is a finite $\mathcal{O}_{Y, \bar{y}}^{sh}$ -algebra. Hence $A = \prod A_i$ with A_i strictly henselian.

On $S = \text{Spec } A_i$, we have $\Gamma(S, \mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}_{\bar{s}}$, which proves $\Gamma(S, -)$ is exact, so higher cohomology vanishes. \square

The case of finite morphisms

Proposition

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be finite. Then $R^n \mathcal{F} = 0$ for all $n > 0$ and all étale sheaves \mathcal{F} on X .

Proof.

Let $X^{sh} = X \times_Y \mathcal{O}_{Y, \bar{y}}^{sh}$. Then $(R^n \mathcal{F})_{\bar{y}} = H^n(X^{sh}, \mathcal{F})$. We have $X^{sh} = \text{Spec } A$, where A is a finite $\mathcal{O}_{Y, \bar{y}}^{sh}$ -algebra. Hence $A = \prod A_i$ with A_i strictly henselian.

On $S = \text{Spec } A_i$, we have $\Gamma(S, \mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}_{\bar{s}}$, which proves $\Gamma(S, -)$ is exact, so higher cohomology vanishes. □

Corollary

Base change works for finite morphisms.

Proposition

To prove base change for all proper $f : X \rightarrow Y$, it is enough to show it works for $\mathbb{P}_Y^1 \rightarrow Y$.

Proposition

To prove base change for all proper $f : X \rightarrow Y$, it is enough to show it works for $\mathbb{P}_Y^1 \rightarrow Y$.

Proof.

Can assume Y affine. Chow's lemma:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \longleftarrow & X' & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}_Y^n \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \\ & & Y & & \end{array}$$

Hence enough to show for $\mathbb{P}_Y^n \rightarrow Y$.

Proposition

To prove base change for all proper $f : X \rightarrow Y$, it is enough to show it works for $\mathbb{P}_Y^1 \rightarrow Y$.

Proof.

Can assume Y affine. Chow's lemma:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \longleftarrow & X' & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}_Y^n \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \\ & & Y & & \end{array}$$

Hence enough to show for $\mathbb{P}_Y^n \rightarrow Y$. We have a finite surjective map $(\mathbb{P}_Y^1)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_Y^n$. Finally we can reduce to \mathbb{P}^1 by induction. \square